



TITLE:

複体に関するEDWARDS-WALSH RESOLUTIONSとABELIAN GROUPS (集合論的・幾何学的トポロジーと その応用の研究)

AUTHOR(S):

Yokoi, Katsuya

CITATION:

Yokoi, Katsuya. 複体に関するEDWARDS-WALSH RESOLUTIONSとABELIAN GROUPS (集合論的・幾何学的トポロジーとその応用の研究). 数理解析研究所講究録 2001, 1188: 102-105

ISSUE DATE:

2001-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/64700>

RIGHT:

複体に関する EDWARDS-WALSH RESOLUTIONS と ABELIAN GROUPS

島根大学 総合理工学部 横井 勝弥 (KATSUYA YOKOI)

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to introduce my recent work [15] about cohomological dimension and resolutions of complexes. We recall that the covering dimension $\dim X$ of a compactum X is the smallest natural number n such that there exists an $(n+1)$ -fold covering by arbitrarily fine open sets. The characterization of dimension in terms of mappings to spheres led to the cohomological characterization of dimension under the assumption of finite-dimensionality of a space [8]. This characterization was the point of departure for cohomological dimension theory. We give below the definition of cohomological dimension. The cohomological dimension $\text{c-dim}_G X$ of a compactum X with coefficients in an abelian group G is the largest integer n such that there exists a closed subset A of X with $H^n(X, A; G) \neq 0$, where $H^n(-; G)$ means the Čech cohomology with coefficients in G . Clearly, $\dim X \leq n$ implies that $\text{c-dim}_G X \leq n$ for all G . Alexandroff formulated the theory in his paper [1].

Recent progress of cohomological dimension theory follows from R.D. Edwards theorem [6] (details can be found in [13]). The theorem is based on the excellent idea, which is the so-called *Edwards-Walsh modification*. An equivalent reformulation below caused the advances: associating to each simplicial complex L , a combinatorial resolution $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ (see Definition 2.1 below) specified that $\text{c-dim}_G X \leq n$ if and only if for every simplicial complex L and map $f: X \rightarrow L$, there exists an approximate lift $\tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \text{EW}_G(L, n)$ of f ; see [5]. Recent analyses in the theory led to a need for those resolutions for general groups. By reason of the necessity, Dydak-Walsh [5, Theorem 3.1] stated a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an Edwards-Walsh resolution of an $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex. They [5, Theorem 4.1] also analyzed the modification and investigated a general property of an abelian group G that admits such a resolution of a complex.

For reason of a difficulty, Koyama and the author [11] introduced a property of an abelian group G that induces the existence of an Edwards-Walsh resolution of a simplicial complex: an abelian group G has *property (EW)* provided that there exists a homomorphism $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G$ such that

- (EW₁) $\alpha \otimes \text{id}: \mathbf{Z} \otimes G \rightarrow G \otimes G$ is an isomorphism, and
- (EW₂) $\alpha^*: \text{Hom}(G, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, G)$ is an isomorphism.

Throughout this note, \mathbf{Z} is the additive group of all integers and \mathbf{Q} is the additive group of all rational numbers. $\mathbf{Z}_{(P)}$ is the ring of integers localized at a subset P of

$\mathcal{P} = \{\text{all prime numbers}\}$. We denote by \mathbf{Z}/p , \mathbf{Z}/p^∞ and $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p$ the cyclic group of order p , the quasi-cyclic group of type p^∞ and the group of p -adic integers, respectively.

For a brief historical view of cohomological dimension theory, we refer the reader to [2], [4], [9] and [10].

2. EDWARDS-WALSH RESOLUTIONS OF COMPLEXES

As mentioned above, an important tool of characterizing compacta X with finite cohomological dimension with respect to G is an Edwards-Walsh resolution $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ of a simplicial complex L . For $G = \mathbf{Z}$, those resolutions were formulated in [13]. The relation of Edwards-Walsh resolutions to cohomological dimension theory and their existence for certain other groups were discussed in [3] and [5].

Definition 2.1. Let G be an abelian group and L a simplicial complex. An *Edwards-Walsh resolution* of L in the dimension n is a pair $(\text{EW}_G(L, n), \omega)$ consisting of a CW-complex $\text{EW}_G(L, n)$ and a combinatorial map $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ (that is, $\omega^{-1}(|L'|)$ is a subcomplex for each subcomplex L' of L) such that

- (i) $\omega^{-1}(|L^{(n)}|) = |L^{(n)}|$ and $\omega|_{|L^{(n)}|}$ is the identity map of $|L^{(n)}|$ onto itself,
- (ii) for every simplex σ of L with $\dim \sigma > n$, the preimage $\omega^{-1}(\sigma)$ is an Eilenberg-MacLane complex of type $(\bigoplus G, n)$, where the sum here is finite, and
- (iii) for every simplex σ of L with $\dim \sigma > n$, the inclusion $\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma) \rightarrow \omega^{-1}(\sigma)$ induces an epimorphism $H^n(\omega^{-1}(\sigma); G) \rightarrow H^n(\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma); G)$.

Dydak-Walsh established a property of G that characterizes those groups for which such resolutions exist for all $(n+1)$ -dimensional simplicial complexes.

Theorem [5, Theorem 3.1]. *Let G be an abelian group and $n \geq 1$. An Edwards-Walsh resolution $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ exists for all simplicial complexes L with $\dim L \leq n+1$ if and only if there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ and a homomorphism $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G^m$ such that any homomorphism $\beta: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G$ factors as $\beta = \tilde{\beta} \circ \alpha$ for some $\tilde{\beta}: G^m \rightarrow G$.*

We extend the theorem above to all simplicial complexes of dimension $\geq n+2$. Before stating our theorem, we recall a proposition in [11].

Proposition 2.2. *Let σ be an $(n+2)$ -simplex and $(K(G, n), S^n)$ a pair of an Eilenberg-MacLane complex of type (G, n) and an n -dimensional sphere S^n in $K(G, n)$. Let E be the CW-complex obtained by replacing each $(n+1)$ -face τ of $\partial\sigma$ by $(K(G, n), S^n)$ along $\partial\tau \cong S^n$. Then we have*

$$H_n(E) \approx (G/\text{Im } \alpha) \oplus \underbrace{G \oplus \cdots \oplus G}_{n+2}$$

and an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\Delta_\alpha} \underbrace{G \oplus \cdots \oplus G}_{n+3} \xrightarrow{q} (G/\text{Im } \alpha) \oplus \underbrace{G \oplus \cdots \oplus G}_{n+2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha = \pi_n(S^n \hookrightarrow K(G, n))$ and Δ_α and q are given by

$$\Delta_\alpha(j) = (\alpha(j), -\alpha(j), \dots, -\alpha(j))$$

and

$$q((g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{n+2})) = ([g_0], g_1 + g_0, \dots, g_{n+2} + g_0).$$

The next is our main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\alpha: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism from the group of integers to an abelian group G . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists an Edwards-Walsh resolution $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ of each simplicial complex L with $\dim L \geq n + 2$ such that*
 - (iv) *the inclusion-induced homomorphism $\pi_n(\omega^{-1}(\partial\tau)) \rightarrow \pi_n(\omega^{-1}(\tau))$ is α for each $(n + 1)$ -simplex τ of L , and*
 - (v) *the inclusion-induced homomorphism $\pi_n(\omega^{-1}(\partial\sigma)) \rightarrow \pi_n(\omega^{-1}(\sigma))$ maps the subgroup $G/\text{Im } \alpha$ to zero for any $(n + 2)$ -simplex σ of L (where if $n = 1$, we consider the abelianization of the fundamental groups),*
- (2) *the homomorphism $\alpha^*: \text{Hom}(G, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{Z}, G)$ induced by α is an isomorphism.*

Remark 2.4. The subgroup $G/\text{Im } \alpha$ in condition (v) above depends upon the enumeration of $(n + 1)$ -faces of each $(n + 2)$ -simplex, since we calculate the group by Proposition 2.2. We also note that (v) is natural for constructing our desired resolution.

Remark. The groups \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{Z}/p and $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}$ satisfy such a condition, that is, there are such resolutions with respect to the groups (those are well-known [13], [5] and [2, 3]).

Example. If $G = \mathbf{Z}/p \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{(q)}$ or $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_p$, where $p \neq q$, then Edwards-Walsh resolutions $\omega: \text{EW}_G(L, n) \rightarrow |L|$ exist for all n and all simplicial complexes.

As we have previously stated, property (EW) seems strong to construct a resolution. However, the condition group-theoretically give us an interesting future.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be an abelian group with property (EW). Then the group is precisely either a cyclic group or a localization of the integer group at some prime numbers.*

Remark. We note that if G is either a cyclic group or a localization of the integer group at some prime numbers, then G has property (EW). Thus the condition characterizes the group of integers and the Bockstein groups except quasi-cyclic ones.

REFERENCES

1. P.S.Alexandroff, *Dimensionstheorie, ein Beitrag zur Geometrie der abgeschlossenen Mengen*, Math. Ann. **106** (1932), 161–238.
2. A. N. Dranishnikov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **43**(4) (1988), 11–63.
3. ———, *K-theory of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces and cell-like mapping problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335**:1 (1993), 91–103.
4. J. Dydak, *Cohomological Dimension Theory*, Handbook of Geometric Topology, 1997 (to appear).
5. J. Dydak and J. Walsh, *Complexes that arise in cohomological dimension theory: A Unified approach*, J. London Math. Soc. **48**(2) (1993), 329–347.
6. R. D. Edwards, *A theorem and a question related to cohomological dimension and cell-like map*, Notice Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1978), A-259.

7. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
8. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.
9. Y. Kodama, *Cohomological dimension theory*, Appendix to K. Nagami, *Dimension theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
10. W. I. Kuzminov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **23** (1968), 1–45.
11. A. Koyama and K. Yokoi, *Cohomological dimension and acyclic resolutions*, *Topology and its Applications* (to appear).
12. T. Szele, *On direct decompositions of abelian groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **28** (1953), 247–250.
13. J. J. Walsh, *Dimension, cohomological dimension, and cell-like mappings*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 870, 1981, pp. 105–118.
14. G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, GTM 61, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
15. K. Yokoi, *Edwards-Walsh resolutions of complexes and abelian groups*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INTERDISCIPLINARY FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING,
SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE, 690-8504, JAPAN

E-mail address: yokoi@math.shimane-u.ac.jp